arXiv:math/0210254v2 [math.AG] 16 May 2003

ON HODGE SPECTRUM AND MULTIPLIER IDEALS

NERO BUDUR

Abstract. We describe a relation between two invariants that measure the complexity of a hypersurface singularity. One is the Hodge spectrum which is related to the monodromy and the Hodge filtration on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber. The other is the multiplier ideal, having to do with log resolutions.

1. Introduction

Let D be an effective divisor on a nonsingular complex variety X. The multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(D)$ is a subsheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_X$. It is related to the birational geometry of the pair (X, D) and measures in a subtle way the singularities of D. The singularities of D get "worse" if $\mathcal{J}(D)$ is smaller (see [La01]).

On the other hand, given a point $x \in X$ and a germ f of a hypersurface at x, singularity theory brings into focus standard topological invariants as the Milnor fiber and the monodromy. An important analytic invariant is the canonical mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber (see [Ku98]).

The natural question to ask is: How does the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(D)$ reflect the standard constructions of singularity theory of a germ of D at a point x?

We will consider numerical invariants. The multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(D)$ comes with a finite increasing sequence of multiplier ideals

$$\mathcal{J}(D) = \mathcal{J}(\alpha_0 D) \subsetneq \mathcal{J}(\alpha_1 D) \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq \mathcal{J}(\alpha_n D) = \mathcal{O}_X,$$

with $1 = \alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \ldots > \alpha_n > 0$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{Q}$. The α_i are called the jumping numbers of (X, D) and provide some measure of the complexity of the scheme defined by $\mathcal{J}(D)$. The jumping numbers seem to have appeared first in this form in [LV90]. They are also related with the constants of quasi-adjunction of [Li83]. The smallest jumping number is the same as the log canonical threshold of (X, D). Let x be a point in X. Some jumping numbers α_i reflect closer than others the difference at x between $\mathcal{J}(\alpha_i D)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\alpha_{i+1} D)$. We will call these inner jumping numbers (2.4). To each $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ we assign an inner

jump multiplicity,

$$n_{\alpha,x}(D) \geq 0,$$

with strict inequality iff α is an inner jumping number (2.4). If D has only an isolated singularity at x, all non-integral jumping numbers are inner jumping numbers.

The Hodge spectrum of a local equation f of D at x is a fractional Laurent polynomial

$$\mathrm{Sp}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}} n_\alpha(f) t^\alpha,$$

with $n_\alpha(f) \in \mathbf{Z}$, and can be defined using the Hodge filtration and the monodromy on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of f (3.2). We call $n_\alpha(f)$ the multiplicity of α in the Hodge spectrum. There are various ways to encode the multiplicities $n_\alpha(f)$ into a fractional Laurent polynomial. Our definition of the spectrum coincides with that of M. Saito [Sa91].

The main result of this article is the following.

Theorem. *Let X be a nonsingular quasi-projective variety of dimension m. Let D be an effective integral divisor on X and $x \in D$ be a point. Let f be any local equation of D at x. Then for any $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $n_\alpha(f) = n_{\alpha,x}(D)$.*

Corollary. *For all $\alpha \in (0,1]$,*

1. *α appears in the Hodge spectrum of f if and only if α is an inner jumping number of (X,D) at x;*
2. *(M. Saito* [Sa93]*-0.7) the multiplicity $n_\alpha(f)$ is ≥ 0;*
3. *(Varchenko* [Va81]*-§4; see also* [Ko97]*-§9) if x is an isolated singularity of D and $\alpha \neq 1$, then, replacing X by an open neighborhood of x if necessary, α appears in the Hodge spectrum if and only if α is a jumping number.*

The method of proof of the main result is an application of the theory of jet schemes and motivic integration. More specifically, we make use of a deep theorem of J. Denef and F. Loeser [DL98] that shows how to compute the Hodge spectrum from a log resolution of the pair (X,D). In the first section, we introduce the multiplier ideals and the inner jump multiplicities. In the second section, we introduce the Hodge spectrum. In the third section we review the result of [DL98]. In the fourth section, we prove the main theorem, up to a few lemmas about quotient singularities which make the subject of the last section.

All varieties will be assumed to be defined over $\mathbf{C}$.

Acknowledgement. I thank my advisor L. Ein for getting me interested in this question and helping me with comments and suggestions.

I thank T. de Fernex, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustaţă, and K. Smith for several discussions and comments on this work.

2. The Multiplier Ideals

We review the definition of multiplier ideals, jumping numbers and we introduce the inner jump multiplicities at a point.

For a nonsingular variety X, let ω_X denote the canonical sheaf. Let K_X be the canonical divisor class, that is $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a proper birational morphism. The exceptional set of μ is denoted by $Ex(\mu)$. This is the set of points $\{y \in Y\}$ where μ is not biregular. We say that μ is a resolution if Y is smooth. We say that a resolution μ is a log resolution of a finite collection of ideal sheaves $\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_s \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ if we can write $\mu^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-H_i)$ where H_i is an effective divisor on Y $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ such that $\cup_i H_i \cup Ex(\mu)$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Such a resolution always exists, by Hironaka. Let $K_{Y/X} = K_Y - \mu^*(K_X)$. If $D = \sum d_i D_i$ is an effective $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor on X, where D_i are the irreducible components of D and $d_i \in \mathbf{Q}$, the round down of D is the integral divisor $\llcorner D \lrcorner = \sum \llcorner d_i \lrcorner D_i$. Here, $\llcorner d \lrcorner$ is the biggest integer $\leq d$.

The following is well-known and follows from the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (see [La01], 9.2.18 and 9.4.1):

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a nonsingular quasi-projective variety, $\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_s \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ a finite collection of ideal sheaves, and $d_1, \ldots, d_s \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a log resolution of $(\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_s)$ and $\mu^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-H_i)$. Then $\mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner d_1 H_1 + \ldots + d_s H_s \lrcorner)$ is independent of the choice of μ and $R^i \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner d_1 H_1 + \ldots + d_s H_s \lrcorner) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Definition 2.2. The *multiplier ideal* of $\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_s \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_s \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ is

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}_1^{d_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathfrak{a}_s^{d_s}) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner d_1 H_1 + \ldots + d_s H_s \lrcorner)$$

for any log resolution μ of $(\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_s)$.

By Theorem 2.1, the definition of $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}_1^{d_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathfrak{a}_s^{d_s})$ is independent of the choice of the log resolution. The multiplier ideals are indeed sheaves of ideals because $\mu_* \omega_Y = \omega_X$. An important particular case is when each $\mathfrak{a}_i = \mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)$ with D_i irreducible divisors on X. The corresponding multiplier ideal will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}(D)$, where $D = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i D_i$. A log resolution of $(\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_s)$ will be called a *log resolution* of (X, D). For $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ define $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(D) = \mathcal{J}((1-\epsilon)\alpha \cdot D)/\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)$, where $0 < \epsilon << 1$.

Definition 2.3. We say that $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ is a *jumping number of* (X, D) if $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(D) \neq 0$.

Let $x \in D$ be a point. Next, we will see how some jumping numbers α reflect closer the difference at x between the multiplier ideals $\mathcal{J}(\alpha D)$ and $\mathcal{J}((1-\epsilon)\alpha D)$ $(0 < \epsilon \ll 1)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{a}$ the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ and by $\mathfrak{m}_x$ the ideal sheaf of the point x in X. For $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, define $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D) = \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{(1-\epsilon)\alpha})/\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{(1-\epsilon)\alpha} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x^\delta)$, where $0 < \epsilon \ll \delta \ll 1$. That this definition is independent of choice of ϵ and δ will be clear from Proposition 2.7. We will also see that $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D)$ has support at most $\{x\}$. Thus, we can make the following definition.

Definition 2.4. We say that $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ is an *inner jumping number of* (X, D) at x if $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D) \neq 0$. Let the *inner jump multiplicity* of (X, D) at x be $n_{\alpha,x}(D) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D)$.

We fix the following notation for the rest of the section. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a log resolution of $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}_x)$. Let $\mu^*(D) = \sum_i m_i E_i$, where E_i are the irreducible components. Let $J = \{i \mid m_i \neq 0\}$. For any subset $I \subset J$, let $E^I = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$. Let $E^\emptyset = \emptyset$. For a positive integer d, let $J_d = \{i \in J \mid d | m_i\}$. Let $J_{d,x} = \{i \in J_d \mid \mu(E_i) = \{x\}\}$. Fix from now $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$. Write $\alpha = r/d$ with r and d nonnegative integers such that $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. Having fixed α, define three effective reduced divisors on Y,

$$E = E^{J_d}, F = E^{J_{d,x}}, G = E^{J_d \setminus J_{d,x}},$$

such that $E = F + G$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let H be an effective divisor on Y such that $\mu^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-H)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner \alpha \mu^* D \lrcorner + G) &= \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha \mu^* D \lrcorner - F) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha \mu^* D + \delta H \lrcorner),\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll \delta \ll 1$.

Proof. The first equality is clear by the definition of $E = F + G$. Let k_i and h_i be the coefficients of E_i in $K_{Y/X}$ and, respectively, H. Then the coefficient of E_i in $K_{Y/X} - \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha \mu^* D + \delta H \lrcorner$ is $k_i - \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha m_i + \delta h_i \lrcorner$. The coefficient of E_i in $K_{Y/X} - \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha \mu^* D \lrcorner$ is $k_i - \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha m_i \lrcorner$. If E_i is not a component of E, that is if $\alpha m_i \notin \mathbf{Z}$, then for small enough ϵ and δ the two coefficients are the same. If $\mu(E_i) \neq x$ then $h_i = 0$ and the two coefficients are again the same. Suppose now that $\alpha m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mu(E_i) = x$, that is E_i is a component of F. For small δ and $\epsilon \ll \delta$, for example $\epsilon \leq \delta h_i/(\alpha m_i)$, we have $\llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha m_i + \delta h_i \lrcorner = \alpha m_i = \llcorner (1-\epsilon)\alpha m_i \lrcorner + 1$. Therefore

$k_i - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha m_i + \delta h_i \lrcorner = k_i - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha m_i \lrcorner - 1$, so the two coefficients from above differ by 1, as claimed. □ □

Lemma 2.6. (1) *$\mu_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner + G)$ is independent of the log resolution and $R^i\mu_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner + G) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

(2) *For $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_F(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner))$ is independent of the log resolution and $R^i\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_F(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner)) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

(3) *$\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_G(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner + G))$ is independent of the log resolution and $R^i\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_G(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner + G)) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.5,

$$\mu_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner + G) = \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{(1-\epsilon)\alpha} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x^{\delta}),$$

where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ and $0 < \epsilon \ll \delta \ll 1$. This shows (1). For all small $\epsilon > 0$, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(A - F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(A) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $A = K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner$. By (1) and Lemma 2.5, the first sheaf pushes forward to a multiplier ideal on X and has no higher direct images. The second sheaf pushes forward to $\mathcal{J}((1-\epsilon)\alpha D)$ and has no higher direct images by Theorem 2.1. This shows (2). (3) is similar. □ □

Remark that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(D) = \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_E(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner))$. Similarly, the proof of Lemma 2.6-(2) shows that we obtain the following interpretation of inner jumping numbers in terms of log resolutions.

Proposition 2.7. *With the above notation, we have:*

(1) *$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D) = \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_F(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner))$, where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.*

(2) *$n_{\alpha,x}(D) = \chi(Y, \mathcal{O}_F(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner))$, where χ is the sheaf Euler characteristic.*

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$. If α is an inner jumping number of (X, D) at x then α is a jumping number of (X, D).*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner + G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(K_{Y/X} - \llcorner(1-\epsilon)\alpha\mu^*D\lrcorner) \longrightarrow 0.$$

None of the three sheaves has higher direct images for μ and the last two sheaves push-forward to $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(D)$ and, respectively, $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D)$. If $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(D) \neq 0$. □ □

Proposition 2.9. *Let X and D be as above. If x is an isolated singularity of D, and if X is replaced by a small open neighborhood of x if necessary, then all non-integral jumping numbers are inner jumping numbers.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ but $\notin \mathbf{Z}$. We can assume that $F = E$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,x}(D)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(D)$ are the direct image under μ of the same sheaf. □ □

3. The Hodge Spectrum

We recall the definition of the Hodge spectrum of a hypersurface singularity. The Hodge spectrum was first defined in [St77] for an isolated singularity, and then in [St89] for the general case.

Let $\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}}$ denote the abelian category of complex mixed Hodge structures. For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\mathbf{C}(n)$ denote the 1-dimensional complex mixed Hodge structure such that $\mathrm{Gr}_i^W = 0$ for all $i \neq -2n$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p = 0$ for all $p \neq -n$. Here $W_{\cdot}$ is the weight filtration and $F^{\cdot}$ is the Hodge filtration.

Definition 3.1. Let $H \in \mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and let T be an automorphism of H of finite order. The *Hodge spectrum* of (H, T) is the fractional Laurent polynomial

$$\mathrm{HSp}(H, T) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}} n_\alpha \cdot t^\alpha,$$

with $n_\alpha = \dim \mathrm{Gr}_F^p H_\lambda$, where $p = \llcorner \alpha \lrcorner$, H_λ is the eigenspace of T for the eigenvalue $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i \alpha)$, and $F^{\cdot}$ is the Hodge filtration.

This definition extends to the Grothendieck group of the abelian category of complex mixed Hodge structures with an automorphism T of finite order.

Let $f : (\mathbf{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ be the germ of a non-zero holomorphic function. Let M_t be the Milnor fiber of f defined as

$$M_t = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^m \mid |z| < \epsilon \text{ and } f(z) = t\}$$

for $0 < |t| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$. The cohomology groups $H^*(M_t, \mathbf{C})$ carry a canonical mixed Hodge structure such that the semisimple part T_s of the monodromy acts as an automorphism of finite order of these mixed Hodge structures (see [St77] for f with an isolated singularity only, [Na87] and [Sa91] for the general case). We will not need the actual construction of the mixed Hodge structure on $H^*(M_t, \mathbf{C})$.

Let

$$\mathrm{HSp}'(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^j \mathrm{HSp}(\tilde{H}^{m-1+j}(M_t, \mathbf{C}), T_s),$$

where $\tilde{H}$ stands for reduced cohomology.

Definition 3.2. We call the *Hodge spectrum* of the germ f the fractional Laurent polynomial $\mathrm{Sp}(f)$ obtained by replacing in $\mathrm{HSp}'(f)$ the powers t^α with $t^{m-\alpha}$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$. Denote by $n_\alpha(f)$ the coefficient of t^α in $\mathrm{Sp}(f)$.

4. The Hodge Spectrum after Denef and Loeser

In [DL98], Denef and Loeser used the theory of motivic integration to give an interpretation of the Hodge spectrum of a germ of a hypersurface in terms of log resolutions. The purpose of this section is to review their result.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the pseudo-abelian category of Chow motives over $\mathbf{C}$ with complex coefficients (see [Sc94]). Let $K_0(\mathcal{M})$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{M}$. On $K_0(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}})$, the tensor products induce ring structures such that the Hodge realization $H : K_0(\mathcal{M}) \to K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}})$ becomes a ring homomorphism. For n an integer, $H(\mathbf{L}^n) = \mathbf{C}(-n)$, where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Lefschetz motive. Let G be a finite abelian group and $\hat{G}$ its complex character group. Define Sch_G to be the category of varieties on which G acts by automorphisms and such that the G-orbit of any closed point is contained in an open affine subvariety.

Theorem 4.1. *(*[DL98]*-1.3) There exists a map*

$$\chi_c : Sch_G \times \hat{G} \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{M})$$

with the following properties:

1. $H \circ \chi_c(X, \alpha) = \sum_i (-1)^i [H_c^i(X)_\alpha]$*, where* $H_c^*(X)_\alpha$ *denotes subspace of the cohomology with compact supports (with the Deligne-Hodge structure) on which* G *acts by multiplication with* α*.*
2. *If* Y *is a closed* G*-stable subvariety in* $X \in Sch_G$*, for all* $\alpha \in \hat{G}$*,* $\chi_c(X \backslash Y, \alpha) = \chi_c(X, \alpha) - \chi_c(Y, \alpha)$*.*
3. *If* $X \in Sch_G$*, and* U *and* V *are* G*-invariant open subvarieties of* X*, for all* $\alpha \in \hat{G}$*,* $\chi_c(U \cup V, \alpha) = \chi_c(U, \alpha) + \chi_c(V, \alpha) - \chi_c(U \cap V, \alpha)$*.*
4. *Suppose* $X \in Sch_G$ *and the* G*-action factors through a quotient* $G \to H$*. If* α *is not in the image of* $\hat{H} \to \hat{G}$*, then* $\chi_c(X, \alpha) = 0$*.*

Let X be a nonsingular and connected variety and let $f : X \to \mathbf{A}^1$ be a regular function on X. Let D be the effective integral divisor $\mathrm{div}(f)$. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, D). Let $\mu^* D = \sum_i m_i E_i$, where E_i are the irreducible components. Fix $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ a rational number, and write $\alpha = r/d$ where r and d are nonnegative integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. If $\alpha = 0$, let $d = 1$. Let $J = \{i \mid m_i \neq 0\}$ and

$J_d = \{i \in J \mid d|m_i\}$. For $I \subset J$, let $E_I = \cap_{i\in I} E_i$ and $E^I = \cup_{i\in I} E_i$. Let $E_\emptyset = Y$ and $E^\emptyset = \emptyset$. For $I \subset J$, let $E_I^o = E_I - E^{J\setminus I}$.

Let $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the degree-d cyclic cover obtained by taking the d-th root of the local equations of the divisor $R = \sum_{i\in J\setminus J_d} m_i E_i$ (see [Ko97]-§2 or [DL98]-3.2.3.). That is, locally $\tilde{Y}$ is the normalization of the subvariety of $Y \times \mathbf{A}^1$ given by $y^d = s$, where s defines R. For any locally closed subset $W \subset Y$ let $\tilde{W} = p^{-1}(W)$.

The generator $1(\mathrm{mod}\ d)$ of $G = \mathbf{Z}/d$ gives a canonical isomorphism of the complex character group $\hat{G}$ with μ_d, the group of the d-th roots of unity. Denote the inverse of this isomorphism by γ. For $Z \in \mathrm{Sch}_G$, we deliberately abuse the notation and write $\chi_c(Z, \alpha)$ in place of $\chi_c(Z, \gamma(e^{2\pi i\alpha}))$.

Definition 4.2. ([DL98]) $S_{\alpha,x} = \sum_{I\subset J_d} \chi_c((E_I^o \cap \mu^{-1}(x))\tilde{}\ , \alpha)(1 - \mathbf{L})^{|I|-1}$.

Let $H : K_0(\mathcal{M}) \to K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_\mathbf{C})$ be the Hodge realization.

Theorem 4.3. *(*[DL98]*-4.3.1) Let X be a nonsingular variety of dimension m. Let D be an effective integral divisor on X and $x \in D$ a point. Then for any local equation f of D at x,*

$$(-1)^{m-1} + HSp'(f) = (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Q}\cap[0,1)} HSp(H(S_{\alpha,x}), \mathrm{Id})t^\alpha$$

For $p \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\mathrm{gr}_F^p : K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_\mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the map such that to a complex mixed Hodge structure H assigns $\mathrm{gr}_F^p(H) = \dim \mathrm{Gr}_F^p H$, where $F^\cdot$ is the Hodge filtration.

Corollary 4.4. *For $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (0, 1]$ the multiplicity of α in the Hodge spectrum $Sp(f)$ of f is $n_\alpha(f) = (-1)^{m-1} gr_F^{m-1} H(S_{1-\alpha,x})$.*

Proof. By definition, $n_\alpha(f)$ is the multiplicity in $\mathrm{HSp}'(f)$ of $m - \alpha$. From Theorem 4.3 and the definition of $\mathrm{HSp}'(f)$, the multiplicity of $m-\alpha$ in $\mathrm{HSp}'(f)$ is equal to $(-1)^{m-1}\mathrm{gr}_F^{m-1} H(S_{1-\alpha,x})$, where gr_F^p is the Euler characteristic described above. □ □

In working with $S_{\alpha,x}$ we will use the following result:

Proposition 4.5. *(*[Ko97]*-§2,* [DL98]*-3.2.3.) Let $I \subset J_d$ and let $W = E_I$.*

(1) *The $\mathbf{Z}/d$-action gives an eigensheaf decomposition*

$$p_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}} = \bigoplus_{0\le j<d} \mathcal{O}_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\llcorner \frac{j}{d}\mu^* D \lrcorner),$$

and the $\mathbf{Z}/d$*-action on each term is given by multiplication by* $e^{2\pi ij/d}$.

(2) *Above* $W \cap E^{J\setminus J_d}$*, the* $\mathbf{Z}/d$*-action factors through a* $\mathbf{Z}/d'$*-action for some* $d' < d$.

(3) $\tilde{W}$ *is locally for the Zariski topology quotient of a nonsingular variety by a finite abelian group.*

5. From Hodge Spectrum to Inner Jumping Numbers

In this section we prove the Theorem from the introduction, up to a few facts about quotient singularities which we leave for the next section. Remark that the Corollary from the introduction follows directly from the Theorem and Propositions 2.8 and 2.9.

The following Mayer-Vietoris type of result can be proven by a careful local computation.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* X *be a nonsingular variety of dimension* m*. Let* $E = \sum_{i\in I} E_i$ *be a reduced divisor on* X *with simple normal crossings. For* $L \subset I$*, denote by* E_I *the intersection* $\cap_{i\in L} E_i$*. Then there exists an exact complex of coherent sheaves*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{L\subset I\\ |L|=1}} \mathcal{O}_{E_I} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{L\subset I\\ |L|=p}} \mathcal{O}_{E_I} \longrightarrow \ldots \tag{5.1.1}$$

Lemma 5.2. *With notation as above, assume that* E_i *have proper support. Then, for a locally free sheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *on* X*,* $\chi(\omega_E \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\emptyset\neq L\subset I} \chi(\omega_{E_L} \otimes \mathcal{F})$*, where* $\omega_{\cdot}$ *are dualizing sheaves and* χ *is the sheaf Euler characteristic.*

Proof. Tensor the exact complex (5.1.1) over $\mathcal{O}_X$ with the dual $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Then, by additivity of the Euler characteristic,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee) = \sum_{\emptyset\neq L\subset I} (-1)^{|L|-1} \chi(\mathcal{O}_{E_L} \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee).$$

The claim follows by Grothendieck-Serre duality. □ □

Proof of Theorem. Both $n_\alpha(f)$ and $n_{\alpha,x}(D)$ do not change if X is replaced by an open neighborhood U of x. Hence, we can assume that f is a regular function on X and $D = \mathrm{div}(f)$. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a common resolution of the point x and of the divisor D. That is, $\mu^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-H)$ for some effective divisor H on Y, where $\mathfrak{m}_x$ is ideal sheaf of x in X, and $Ex(\mu) + H + \mathrm{div}(f\circ\mu)$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\mu^* D = \sum_i m_i E_i$. Let $J = \{\ i \mid m_i \neq 0\}$.

Let α be a rational number in $[0, 1)$. Write $\alpha = r/d$, with r and d nonnegative coprime integers. Let $J_d = \{\ i \in J \mid d|m_i\}$, and $J_{d,x} =$

$\{\ i \in J_d \mid \mu(E_i) = x\}$. For $I \subset J$, let $E_I = \cap_{i\in I} E_i$, and $E^I = \cup_{i\in I} E_i$. Let $E_\emptyset = Y$ and $E^\emptyset = \emptyset$. Denote by E_I^o the complement $E_I - E^{J\setminus I}$. Recall that by the previous section we have a degree-d cyclic cover $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$, and for any locally closed subset $W \subset Y$ we defined $\tilde{W}$ as the inverse image of W under p. Having fixed $\alpha \in [0,1)$ a rational number, recall the definition of $S_{\alpha,x} \in K_0(\mathcal{M})$ from 4.2. Let $M = \{I \subset J_d \mid I \cap J_{d,x} \neq \emptyset\}$. Firstly, at motivic level, we have

$$S_{\alpha,x} = \sum_{I\in M} \chi_c(\tilde{E}_I^o, \alpha)(1-\mathbf{L})^{|I|-1} = \star,$$

because $\mu^{-1}(x)$ is a divisor whose components have nonzero multiplicity in $\mu^* D$. By Theorem 4.1-(2),

$$\star = \sum_{I\in M} [\chi_c(\tilde{E}_I, \alpha) - \chi_c((E_I \cap E^{J\setminus I})\tilde{}\,, \alpha)](1-\mathbf{L})^{|I|-1}.$$

By Theorem 4.1-(3), applied to $\chi_c((E_I \cap E^{J\setminus I})\tilde{}\,, \alpha)$,

$$\star = \sum_{I\in M} \sum_{L\subset J\setminus I} (-1)^{|L|} \chi_c(\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}, \alpha)(1-\mathbf{L})^{|I|-1}.$$

If $L \not\subset J_d$ then $E_{I\cup L}$ is included in $E_I \cap E^{J\setminus J_d}$, and by Proposition 4.5 the $\mathbf{Z}/d$-action on $\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}$ factors through a $\mathbf{Z}/d'$-action with $d' < d$. Hence, by Theorem 4.1-(4), $\chi_c(\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}, \alpha) = 0$. Therefore

$$\star = \sum_{I\in M} \sum_{L\subset J_d\setminus I} (-1)^{|L|} \chi_c(\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}, \alpha)(1-\mathbf{L})^{|I|-1}.$$

For an integer p, let $\mathrm{gr}_F^p : K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}}) \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the map that sends a complex mixed Hodge structure H to $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Gr}_F^p H \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $F^\cdot$ is the Hodge filtration. For $H \in K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}})$ and an integer n, one has $\mathrm{gr}_F^p(H \cdot \mathbf{C}(n)) = \mathrm{gr}_F^{p+n}(H)$. Let $\mathrm{gr}_F^{m-1} H(S_{\alpha,x}) = \star\star$. Then

$$\star\star = \sum_{I\in M} \sum_{L\subset J_d\setminus I} \sum_{i=0}^{|I|-1} (-1)^{|L|+i} \binom{|I|-1}{i} \mathrm{gr}_F^{m-1-i} H(\chi_c(\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}, \alpha).$$

By Proposition 4.5, the varieties $\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}$ are locally for the Zariski topology quotients of nonsingular varieties by finite abelian groups. Since $I \cap J_{d,x} \neq \emptyset$, they are finite over closed subvarieties of $\mu^{-1}(x)$ and therefore projective. Thus, by Proposition 6.4-(1), $\mathrm{gr}_F^{m-1-i} H(\chi_c(\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}, \alpha)) = 0$ if $m - 1 - i > \dim \tilde{E}_{I\cup L}$. Since the divisors E_i are in normal crossing, the dimension of $\tilde{E}_{I\cup L}$ is $m - |I| - |L|$. Hence in the summation above it suffices to take $i = |I| - 1$ and $L = \emptyset$, i.e. $\star\star =$

$\sum_{I\in M}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mathrm{gr}_F^{m-|I|}H(\chi_c(\tilde{E}_I,\alpha))$. By Proposition 6.4-(2),

$$\star\star=\sum_{I\in M}(-1)^{|I|-1}\sum_i(-1)^i\dim H^i(\tilde{E}_I,\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_I})_{1-\alpha},$$

where $H^*(\tilde{E}_I,\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_I})_{1-\alpha}$ is the part of the cohomology of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_I}$ on which the canonical generator 1 (mod d) of $G=\mathbf{Z}/d$ acts by multiplication with $e^{2\pi i(1-\alpha)}$. Since the covering $p:\tilde{E}_I\to E_I$ is a finite morphism, we can replace $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_I}$ by $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_I}$ in $\star\star$. By Proposition 4.5, the eigensheaf decomposition of $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}_I}$ gives

$$\star\star=\sum_{I\in M}(-1)^{|I|-1}\chi(\mathcal{O}_{E_I}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)),$$

where χ stands for the sheaf Euler characteristic. The varieties E_I are projective and nonsingular by assumption. Let ω_{E_I} be the canonical-dualizing sheaf. By duality,

$$\begin{aligned}\star\star&=(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{I\in M}\chi\left(\omega_{E_I}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)\right)\\&=(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{\emptyset\neq L\subset J_{d,x}}\left[\chi\left(\omega_{E_L}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)\right)+\right.\\&\qquad\left.+\sum_{\emptyset\neq K\subset J_d\setminus J_{d,x}}\chi(\omega_{E_{L\cup K}}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Let $G=E^{J_d\setminus J_{d,x}}$. By Lemma 5.2 applied for each nonempty index set $L\subset J_{d,x}$ to the nonsingular projective varieties E_L and the reduced divisors $G\cap E_L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\star\star=(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{\emptyset\neq L\subset J_{d,x}}&\left[\chi\left(\omega_{E_L}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)\right)+\right.\\&\left.+\chi\left(\omega_{G\cap E_L}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)\right)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{G\cap E_L}$ is the dualizing sheaf of $G\cap E_L$. By adjunction for $G\cap E_L$ in E_L,

$$\star\star=(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{\emptyset\neq L\subset J_{d,x}}\chi(\omega_{E_L}\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner+G)).$$

Let $F=E^{J_{d,x}}$. By Lemma 5.2,

$$\star\star=(-1)^{m-1}\chi(\omega_F\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(-\llcorner(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner+G)).$$

By adjunction for $F\subset Y$, $\omega_F\simeq\mathcal{O}_F\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y+F)$ and therefore

$$\star\star=(-1)^{m-1}\chi(\mathcal{O}_F\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X}-\llcorner(1-\epsilon)(1-\alpha)\mu^*D\lrcorner)),$$

for small $\epsilon > 0$. We conclude by Proposition 2.8 that, for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0,1)$, $\mathrm{gr}_F^{m-1} H(S_{\alpha,x}) = (-1)^{m-1} n_{1-\alpha,x}(D)$. In other words, for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (0,1]$, $\mathrm{gr}_F^{m-1} H(S_{1-\alpha,x}) = (-1)^{m-1} n_{\alpha,x}(D)$. By Corollary 4.4, we obtained for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0,1)$ that $n_\alpha(f) = n_{\alpha,x}(D)$.

6. Quotient Singularities

In this section we prove the remaining facts needed in the proof of the Theorem. These facts are conclusions we draw from the Hodge theory of varieties with at most quotient singularities. We say that a variety X has only *quotient singularities* if X is locally for the Zariski topology quotient of a nonsingular variety Y by a finite group of automorphisms of Y.

Theorem 6.1. *(E. Viehweg) Let X be a variety. Suppose X has only quotient singularities. Then X has rational singularities, that is, for every resolution $f : Y \to X$, we have $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Let X be a variety and G a finite group acting on X by automorphisms. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. We say that G acts on $\mathcal{F}$, or that $\mathcal{F}$ is a *G-sheaf*, if for every $g \in G$ there exists a lifting $\lambda_g^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to g^* \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X \to g^* \mathcal{O}_X$, such that $\lambda_1^{\mathcal{F}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\lambda_{hg}^{\mathcal{F}} = g^*(\lambda_h^{\mathcal{F}}) \circ \lambda_g^{\mathcal{F}}$. G acts naturally on any locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. A morphism of G-sheaves $\theta : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is said to be G-equivariant if it commutes with the G-action, that is, $g^*\theta \circ \lambda_g^{\mathcal{F}} = \lambda_g^{\mathcal{G}} \circ \theta$. The G-sheaves with their G-equivariant morphisms form a category which we denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(X, G)$. If $f : Y \to X$ is a G-equivariant morphism, then the usual direct image functor gives a functor $f_* : \mathrm{Mod}(Y, G) \to \mathrm{Mod}(X, G)$.

Let X be a variety with only quotient singularities and G a finite group acting on X by automorphisms. Let U be the open subvariety consisting of the regular points of X, and denote by $j : U \to X$ the inclusion. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a G-equivariant resolution of X. Assume that f gives an isomorphism of $f^{-1}(U)$ with U. Denote by ω_Y and ω_U the canonical invertible sheaves of Y and, respectively, U.

Theorem 6.2. *(*[St77]*-1.11) There is a G-equivariant isomorphism of G-sheaves $f_* \omega_Y \to j_* \omega_U$.*

For a variety X, let $D_{\mathrm{filt}}(X)$ denote the derived category of filtered complexes of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with differentials being differential operators of order ≤ 1 ([DB81]-1.1). Let $D^b_{\mathrm{filt,coh}}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $D_{\mathrm{filt}}(X)$ of filtered complexes $(K^{\cdot}, F)$ such that, for all i, $\mathrm{Gr}_F^i K^{\cdot}$ is an object of $D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(X)$, the derived category of bounded complexes of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with coherent cohomology ([DB81]-1.4). For an integer p and a

complex $K^{\cdot}$, let $K[p]^{\cdot}$ denote the complex such that $K[p]^q = K^{p+q}$. For our purpose, the Hodge filtration on the cohomology of the variety X is best understood via the Du Bois complex $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X$ constructed in [DB81]. The following are the basic properties of the Du Bois complex we need.

Theorem 6.3. *(*[DB81]*-3.21, 4.5, 4.12, 5.3, 5.9;* [GNPP]*-V.3.5, V.4.1). For every variety X there exists a filtered complex $(\underline{\Omega}_X, F)$ in $D^b_{filt,coh}(X)$, canonical up to isomorphism, with the following properties.*

1. *If $f : Y \to X$ is a morphism of varieties, there exists in $D_{filt}(X)$ a natural morphism $f^* : (\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X, F) \to Rf_*(\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y, F)$, where Rf_* is the right derived functor of the filtered direct image functor f_*.*
2. *The complex $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X$ is a resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbf{C}$ on X. If X is nonsingular then $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X$ is the usual De Rham complex of Kähler differentials with the "filtration bête".*
3. *If X is proper, $\mathbf{H}^{p+q}(X, Gr^p_F \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X) \simeq Gr^p_F H^{p+q}(X, \mathbf{C})$.*
4. *The complex $Gr^p_F \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X$ is zero for $p > \dim X$.*
5. *If X has only quotient singularities, let U be the open subvariety of regular points of X and $j : U \to X$ the inclusion. Then j^* induces in $D_{filt}(X)$ an isomorphism $(\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X, F) \to j_*(\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_U, F)$.*
6. *If a finite group G acts on a variety X, then $(\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X, F)$ can be considered as an object in the G-equivariant derived category $D_{filt}(X, G)$ (*[DB81]*-5.4). In particular, $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X$ is a complex of G-sheaves, the differential and the filtration commute with the G-action. If $f : Y \to X$ is a G-equivariant morphism, then $f^* : (\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X, F) \to Rf_*(\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y, F)$ can be taken as a morphism in $D_{filt}(X, G)$.*

For a finite abelian group G, let $\hat{G}$ be the group of complex characters of G. If H is a $\mathbf{C}$-vector space on which G acts and $\alpha \in \hat{G}$ is a complex character of G, let $H_\alpha = \{\ h \in H \mid gh = \alpha(g)h, \text{ for all } g \in G\ \}$. For $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_G$ and $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, let $\chi_c(X, \alpha)$ be the element in $K_0(\mathcal{M})$ from Theorem 4.1. Let $H : K_0(\mathcal{M}) \to K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}})$ be the Hodge realization. For an integer p, let $\mathrm{gr}^p_F : K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}}) \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the map that sends $H \in \mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}}$ to $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Gr}^p_F H$, where $F^{\cdot}$ is the Hodge filtration.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $X \in Sch_G$ be a projective variety of dimension n. For all $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, the following holds:*

1. *$gr^p_F H(\chi_c(X, \alpha)) = 0$, if $p > n$.*
2. *If, in addition, X has only quotient singularities, $gr^n_F H(\chi_c(X, \alpha)) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_{\alpha^{-1}}$.*

Proof. Let $H^*(X) = H^*(X, \mathbf{C})$. The compatibility of χ_c with the Hodge realization gives $H(\chi_c(X, \alpha)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^i [H^i(X)_\alpha]$ in $K_0(\mathrm{MHS}_{\mathbf{C}})$.

Hence, $\mathrm{gr}_F^p H(\chi_c(X,\alpha)) = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}(-1)^i \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Gr}_F^p H^i(X)_\alpha$, where F is the Hodge filtration. By Theorem 6.3-(3) and (6), we have a G-equivariant isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p H^i(X) \simeq \mathbf{H}^i(X, \mathrm{Gr}_F^p \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X)$, where $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X$ is the filtered complex of Du Bois. By Theorem 6.3-(4), if $p > n$ then $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X = 0$, hence the hypercohomology groups are also zero. Thus $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p H^i(X)$ is zero, and in particular the subspace $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p H^i(X)_\alpha$ is zero. This proves (1).

Suppose now that X has only quotient singularities. Let U be the open subvariety of X of regular points and $j : U \to X$ the inclusion. By Theorem 6.3-(4),(5),(6), there is a natural quasi-isomorphism, compatible with the G-action, of $\mathrm{Gr}_F^n \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X[n]$ and the complex with $j_*\omega_U$ concentrated in degree zero, where ω_U is the canonical invertible sheaf of U. Therefore, for any integer i, we have a natural G-equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{H}^i(X, \mathrm{Gr}_F^n \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X[n]) \simeq H^i(X, j_*\omega_U)$. This gives a G-isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_F^n H^i(X) \simeq H^{i-n}(X, j_*\omega_U)$. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a G-equivariant resolution of X. By Theorem 6.2, there is a G-equivariant isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_F^n H^i(X) \simeq H^{i-n}(X, f_*\omega_Y)$, where ω_Y is the canonical invertible sheaf of Y. By the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem, $R^i f_*\omega_Y = 0$ for $i > 0$ and so, by the Leray spectral sequence, $H^{i-n}(X, f_*\omega_Y) = H^{i-n}(Y, \omega_Y)$. Let B denote the Serre duality pairing

$$H^{i-n}(Y,\omega_Y) \times H^{2n-i}(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^n(Y,\omega_Y) = \mathbf{C}.$$

Since Y is nonsingular and projective, one knows that $gB(.,.) = B(g.,g.)$, for all $g \in G$, and the action on $H^n(Y,\omega_Y)$ is trivial. If $\alpha \in \hat{G}$ is a complex character of G, then $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Gr}_F^n H^i(X)_\alpha = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^{2n-i}(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)_{\alpha^{-1}}$. By Theorem 6.1, $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$, for $i > 0$. Hence, there is a G-equivariant isomorphism of $H^{2n-i}(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)$ and $H^{2n-i}(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{gr}_F^n H(\chi_c(X,\alpha)) = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}(-1)^i \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^{2n-i}(X,\mathcal{O}_X)_{\alpha^{-1}}$ which is equivalent with (2). □ □

References

[DL98] Denef, J.; Loeser, F., *Motivic Igusa Zeta Functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7**, (1998), 505–537.

[DB81] Du Bois, P., *Complexe de de Rham filtré d'une variété singulière*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109**, (1981), 41–81.

[GNPP] Guillén, F.; Navarro Aznar, V.; Pascual-Gainza, P.; Puerta, F., *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1335, Springer-Verlag (1988), xii+192 pp.

[Ko97] Kollár, J., *Singularities of Pairs*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. A.M.S. **62**, Part 1 (1997), 221–287.

[Ku98] Kulikov, V., *Mixed Hodge structures and singularities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1998), xxii+186 pp.

[La01] Lazarsfeld, R., *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry*, preliminary draft (2001).

[Li83] Libgober, A., *Alexander invariants of plane algebraic curves* , in Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. A.M.S. **40** part 2, (1983), 135–143.

[LV90] Loeser, F.; Vaquié, M., *Le Polynome d'Alexander d'une courbe plane projective*, Topology **29**, (1990), 163–173.

[Na87] Navarro Aznar, V., *Sur la théorie de Hodge-Deligne*, Invent. Math. **90**, (1987), 11–76.

[Sa91] Saito, M., *On Steenbrink's Conjecture*, Math. Ann. **289**, (1991), 703–716.

[Sa93] Saito, M. *On b-function, spectrum and rational singularity*, Math. Ann. **295**, (1993), 51–74.

[Sc94] Scholl, A.J., *Classical Motives*, in *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. A.M.S. **55**, Part 1 (1994), 163–187.

[St77] Steenbrink, J.H.M., *Mixed Hodge structures on the vanishing cohomology*, in *Real and Complex Singularitites, Oslo 1976*, Alphen aan den Rijn, Oslo, (1977), 525–563.

[St89] Steenbrink, J.H.M, *The spectrum of hypersurface singularities*, in *Théorie de Hodge, Luminy 1987*, Astérisque **179-180**, (1989), 163–184.

[Va81] Varchenko, A.N., *Asymptotic Hodge structure on vanishing cohomology*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **45**, (1981), 540–591.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Chicago
851 South Morgan Street (M/C 249), Chicago, IL 60607-7045, USA
E-mail address: nero@math.uic.edu